

1 Erin Lee Truman
2 Nevada Bar No. 4668
3 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC
4 Peccole Professional Park
5 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
7 (702) 385-2500 phone
8 (702) 385-2086 facsimile
9 etruaman@hutchlegal.com

6 *Attorneys for Defendants*
7 *M Life, Inc., M'Life Wellness, LLC,*
7 *M'Life Nevada, LLC, Daniel Lutz,*
and Daryn Gomez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL, a
12 Delaware corporation,

12 Plaintiff,

14 | V.

15 M LIFE, INC., a Nevada corporation; M'LIFE
16 WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
17 company; M'LIFE NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DANIEL LUTZ, an
individual, and DARVIN GOMEZ, an
individual.

18 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:14-cv-01510-JAD-CWH

**STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME FOR ALL
DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
COMPLAINT**

(Seventh Request)

Defendants M Life, Inc., M'Life Wellness, LLC, M'Life Nevada, LLC, Daniel Lutz, and
Darvin Gomez (together, the "Defendants"), on the one hand, and Plaintiff MGM Resorts
International ("Plaintiff" or "MGM"), on the other hand, state the following:

22 1. The Complaint was filed on September 17, 2014. (Doc. No. 1.)
23 2. Defendants M Life, Inc., M'Life Wellness, LLC; M'Life Nevada, LLC; were each
24 served with the Summons and Complaint on September 19, 2014. (Doc. Nos. 5, 6, & 7.)
25 3. Defendant Darvin Gomez was served with the Summons and Complaint on
26 September 24, 2014.
27 4. Defendant Daniel Lutz accepted service of the Summons and Complaint
28 effective October 10, 2014. (Doc. No. 9.)

1 5. To accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
2 resources, the parties agreed that each of the Defendants would have until November 10, 2014, to
3 file and serve their respective answer or other response to the Complaint (Doc. No. 9) (The “First
4 Request”). The Court granted the request. (Doc. No. 10.)

5 6. Again, to accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
6 resources, on November 10, 2014, the parties, agreed to extend the time for the Defendants to file
7 and serve their answer or other response to the Complaint from November 10, 2014 to November
8 24, 2014. (Doc. No. 19) (the “Second Request”). The Court granted the request. (Doc. No. 22.)

9 7. Again, to accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
10 resources, on November 24, 2014, the parties, agreed to extend the time for the Defendants to file
11 and serve their answer or other response to the Complaint from November 24, 2014 to December
12 15, 2014. (Doc. No. 21) (the “Third Request”). The Court granted the request. (Doc. No. 24.)

13 8. Again, to accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
14 resources, on December 15, 2014, the parties, agreed to extend the time for the Defendants to file
15 and serve their answer or other response to the Complaint from December 15, 2014 to January 9,
16 2015. (Doc. No. 27) (the “Fourth Request”). The Court granted the request. (Doc. No. 22.)

17 9. Again, to accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
18 resources, on January 9, 2015, the parties, agreed to extend the time for the Defendants to file and
19 serve their answer or other response to the Complaint from January 9, 2015 to February 9, 2015.
20 (Doc. No. 31) (the “Fifth Request”). The Court granted the request (Doc. No. 33.)

21 10. Again, to accommodate settlement discussions, streamline the case, and conserve
22 resources, on February 9, 2015, the parties, agreed to extend the time for the Defendants to file and
23 serve their answer or other response to the Complaint from February 9, 2015 to March 9, 2015.
24 (Doc. No. 37) (the “Sixth Request”). The Court granted the request (Doc. No. 38.)

25 11. The parties have engaged in meaningful settlement discussions which will result
26 in the dismissal of this action. Those discussions have resulted in an agreement in principal and
27 multiple drafts of a written settlement agreement that the parties’ counsel are working to finalize.

28 12. In light of the parties’ ongoing efforts to draft, finalize, obtain approval of, and

1 execute a final written settlement agreement, and, in-part, to accommodate the schedules of the
2 parties and their counsel in light of the press of business, the parties request, and submit that good
3 cause exists to extend the date for all Defendants to file and serve their respective answer or other
4 response to the Complaint from March 9, 2015 to March 20, 2015.

5 **IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED:**

6 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP

8 BY /s/ Erin Lee Truman
9 Erin Lee Truman
Peccole Professional Park
10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200
10 Las Vegas, NV 89145

BY /s/ Jonathan W. Fountain
Michael J. McCue
Jonathan W. Fountain
Meng Zhong
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996

11 *Attorneys for Defendants*
M'Life, Inc., M'Life Wellness, LLC,
M'Life Nevada, LLC, Daniel Lutz,
and Darvin Gomez

Attorneys for Plaintiff
MGM Resorts International

13

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

15
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17 DATED: _____

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I hereby certify that on March 9, 2015, I filed a copy of the foregoing document entitled
3 **STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR ALL DEFENDANTS TO**
4 **ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT (Seventh Request)**, with
5 the Clerk of the Court via the Court's CM/ECF system, and served a true and accurate copy of the
6 same via First Class U.S. Mail upon the following:

7 Michael J. McCue, Esq.
8 Jonathan W. Fountain, Esq.
9 Meng Zhong, Esq.
10 LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER, LLP
11 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
12 Las Vegas, NV 89169
13 (702) 949-8200
14 **FAX (702) 949-8398**

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
13 *MGM Resorts International*

13 _____
14 /s/ *Cindy Pittsenbarger*
15 An employee of
16 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC